

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 526 (1996) 303-312



Synthesis and characterisation of platinum(II) ureylene complexes, and the X-ray structure of [Pt{PhNC(O)NAd}(COD)] (Ad = 1-adamantyl, COD = 1,5-cyclo-octadione)

Maarten B. Dinger, William Henderson *, Brian K. Nicholson, Alistair L. Wilkins

Department of Chemistry, University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, New Zealand

Received 20 April 1996; revised 25 April 1996

Abstract

Reaction of $[PtCl_2(COD)]$ or *cis*- $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ with a range of mono-<u>and di-substituted</u> ureas, together with urea itself, and an excess of silver(I) oxide gives ureylene complexes 3 and 4 containing the Pt-N-C(O)-N four-membered ring system. The complexes have been fully characterised by multinuclear NMR and IR spectroscopies, electrospray mass spectrometry, and a single-crystal X-ray structure of the complex [Pt{PhNC(O)NAd}(COD)] (Ad = 1-adamantyl, COD = 1,5-cyclo-octadiene).

Keywords: Platinum; Ureylene; Urea; Metallacycle; Crystal structure; Electrospray

1. Introduction

Transition-metal ureylene complexes, containing the four-membered M-N-C(O)-N ring system 1 have been known for some years. Such complexes can be formulated as complexes of urea dianions, and a number of methods have been reported for their synthesis, which are summarised in two reviews [1,2]. These include reactions of low-valent ruthenium, palladium, platinum, rhodium and iridium complexes with isocyanates and organic azides [3] and the reactions of isocyanates with imido complexes of osmium [4] and rhenium [5,6], and with oxo-complexes of ruthenium and osmium [7]. Reaction of $[Pd(OAc)_2]$, 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), and CO in PhNO₂ solvent gave the complex [Pd{C(O)NPhOC(O)}(phen)] which, upon reaction with phenyl isocyanate followed by heating, gave the palladaureylene complex [Pd{NPhC(O)NPh}(phen)] [8]. Dinuclear complexes 2 have also been prepared by the reaction of $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ or $Fe_2(CO)_9$ with organic azides [9]. A number of tri- and tetra-nuclear palladium com-

0022-328X/96/\$15.00 Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. PII \$0022-328X(96)06532-1

plexes containing bridging urea dianions have also been recently reported [10].



Synthetic routes to ureylene complexes starting from the appropriate parent urea have also been reported, including oxidative addition of 1,3-di-*p*-toluenesulphonylurea to low-valent metal complexes [2,3,11], and the reaction of [Pd(OAc)₂(phen)] with the dilithio salt of 1,3-diphenylurea [3]. In a recent communication [12] we reported that silver(I) oxide can be used to synthesise platinum(II) ureylene complexes, together with the first mononuclear examples of isoelectronic

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: w.henderson@waikato.ac.nz; fax: + 64 7 838 4219.

triazatrimethylenemethane complexes formally derived from the N,N',N''-triphenylguanidine dianion. Related four-membered ring systems Pt-RN-X-NR (X = SO₂ or P(O)Ph) have also been synthesised using silver(I) oxide [13,14], and by the reaction of *cis*-[PtCl₂(PR₃)₂] with SO₂(NH₂)₂ or SO₂Cl₂ in liquid ammonia [15]. Here we report our detailed studies on the silver(I) oxide-mediated synthesis of platinum(II) ureylene complexes 3, containing ancillary cyclo-octa-1,5-diene and triphenylphosphine ligands, together with the X-ray structure of one derivative.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Syntheses

The silver(I) oxide-mediated reaction of platinum(II) halide complexes with ureas has been investigated as a general synthetic method for ureylene complexes. A wide range of ureas has been investigated in order to determine the general applicability of the method, which is shown here.



Thus, reaction of either $[PtCl_3(COD)]$ (COD = 1,5cyclo-octadiene) or cis- $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ with 1 mol equivalent of the appropriate urea and an excess of silver(1) oxide proceeds smoothly in refluxing dichloromethane, to give the platinum(II) ureylene complexes **3a-g** as yellow crystalline solids. Silver(1) oxide has been found to be a versatile reagent for the synthesis of a diverse range of metallacyclic complexes, containing metal-carbon, -nitrogen, -oxygen or -sulphur bonds [16]. Typically, reactions can be carried out in air, without recourse to the use of air- and/or moisture-sensitive reagents, and the by-products (silver chloride and water) are readily removed, facilitating simple product isolation.

Typically, reactions of ureas containing at least one electron-withdrawing aromatic or acetyl substituent on nitrogen proceeded relatively quickly. Attempted synthesis of a ureylene complex derived from 1,3-dimethyl urea was unsuccessful by this methodology. This is presumably a consequence of the reduced acidity of the aliphatic-substituted ureas over their aromatic-substituted counterparts. Attempts at synthesising the unsubstituted parent ureylene complex [Pt{NHC(O)NH}(COD)] led to a complex mixture of products. However, when the reaction is carried out using cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] the ureylene complex 3i can be identified by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy and electrospray mass spectrometry (ESMS), though it decomposes to a mixture of unidentified products upon standing in dichloromethane solution. Presumably, complexes containing N-phenyl substituents have stabilised Pt-N bonds, though even in the case of phenyl urea, the bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum complex 3h was still of marginal stability, and could not be fully characterised. This decreased stability of the unsubstituted metallacycles is in contrast with the related systems $[Pt(NHXNH)(PPh_3)_2]$ (X = SO₂ or P(O)Ph), which have been reported to be stable [13-15].

The general methodology described above was also employed in the synthesis of a dinuclear ureylene complex. Reaction of diphenylmethane-4,4'-di-isocyanate with excess methylamine gave the bis(urea) $\{CH_3NHC(O)NHC_6H_4\}_2CH_2$ as an insoluble white solid, which, upon reaction with 2 mol equivalents of $[PtCl_2(COD)]$ yields the dinuclear complex 4. There was no evidence of any monosubstituted complex being formed.



In conclusion, the silver(I) oxide method for the synthesis of platinum(II) ureylene complexes is generally applicable for disubstituted ureas, particularly those containing at least one electron-withdrawing substituent. The procedure is particularly useful for the synthesis of

Table 1

Final positional parameters and equivalent thermal parameters for $[P_1(PhNC(O)NAd](COD)]$ 3d, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

Atom	x	у	2	U _{eq}
Pt	0.0176(1)	0.3286(1)	0.3615(1)	0.017(1)
O(I)	-0.3914(7)	0.2916(7)	0.3878(5)	0.026(1)
N(2)	- 0.1498(8)	0.3206(8)	0.4516(5)	0.021(2)
N(I)	-0.1748(8)	0.3319(8)	0.2947(5)	0.021(2)
C(I)	-0.256(1)	0.3085(9)	0.3783(6)	0.021(2)
C(2)	-0.247(1)	0.3275(9)	0.1984(6)	0.019(2)
C(3)	-0.312(1)	0.4418(9)	0.1930(7)	0.023(2)
C(4)	-0.376(1)	0.1866(9)	0.1774(7)	0.025(2)
C(5)	-0.131(1)	0.355(1)	0.1184(7)	0.027(2)
C (11)	-0.193(1)	0.2618(9)	0.5433(6)	0.021(2)
C(12)	-0.086(1)	0.3082(9)	0.6217(7)	0.023(2)
C(13)	-0.122(1)	0.252(1)	0.7122(7)	0.032(2)
C(15)	-0.367(1)	0.101(1)	0.6513(7)	0.027(2)
C(14)	-0.263(1)	0.149(1)	0.7289(7)	0.032(2)
C(16)	-0.333(1)	0.1565(9)	0.5610(7)	0.025(2)
C(21)	-0.205(1)	0.352(1)	0.0177(7)	0.033(2)
C(22)	- 0.268(1)	0.466(1)	0.01.52(8)	0.034(2)
C(23)	- 0.385(1)	0.440(1)	0.0930(7)	0.028(2)
C(24)	- 0.516(1)	0.299(1)	0.0742(7)	0.030(2)
C(25)	- 0.452(1)	0.186(1)	0.0770(7)	0.031(2)
C(26)	- 0.332(1)	0.211(1)	0.0009(7)	0.037(3)
C(31)	0.147(1)	0.267(1)	0.2552(7)	0.025(2)
C(32)	0.241(1)	0.193(1)	0.3007(7)	0.029(2)
C (33)	0.201(1)	0.153(1)	0.4058(7)	0.031(2)
C(34)	0.151(1)	0.253(1)	0.4600(7)	0.025(2)
C(35)	0.221(1)	0.397(1)	0.4565(7)	0.024(2)
C(36)	0.367(1)	0.474(1)	().4042(7)	0.026(2)
C(37)	0.336(1)	0.513(1)	0.3004(7)	0.027(2)
C(38)	0.1927(9)	0.4099(9)	0.2542(6)	0.020(2)
C(()	0.1989(5)	0.0225(4)	0.6476(4)	0.091(1)
Cl(2)	0.3409(8)	0.2023(6)	0.8109(4)	0.120(2)
C(41)	0.290(1)	0.181(1)	0.6880(9)	0.106(7)
C(91)	0.040(1)	0.931(1)	0.0790(9)	0.089(8)
C(92)	(),()76(1)	(),94()(1)	0.0390(9)	0.13(1)
C(93)	-0.106(1)	0.958(1)	0.1390(9)	0.14(1)

asymmetrically substituted complexes, which are not readily accessible by other routes, such as from isocyanates.

2.2. X-ray crystal structure of [Pt{PhNC(0)NAd}(COD)] 3d

In order to assess the bonding in the platinaureylene system, a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study has been carried out on the asymmetrically substituted derivative **3d**. The presence of two electronically and sterically different substituents (phenyl and 1-adamantyl) was desirable since there is only one other structural determination on an asymmetrically substituted ureylene complex [6], though a number of symmetric derivatives have been characterised. Fractional atomic coordinates for the structure are given in Table 1, while Table 2 gives selected intramolecular bond distances and angles.

The structure (Fig. 1) confirms the expected platinaureylene structure 3d, which is analogous to other ureylene structures reported for Cr, Fe, Co, Mo, Ru, Pd, W, Re and Os. It is noteworthy that ureylene complexes are N,N-bonded, in marked contrast to platinum(II) complexes of N, S-chelated thiourea dianions [17], where the affinity of the soft sulphur atom for the metal centre dictates the coordination mode. As is normal with platinacyclic complexes, the metal is coordinated in a distorted square-planar arrangement, with the bidentate ureylene and η^4 -coordinated 1,5-cyclo-octadiene ligands lying in the plane. The main distortion is the N(1)-Pt-N(2) bite angle, which in this case is 64.7(3)°, deviating by 25.3° from a regular square-plane. This is due to the geometrical constraint set by the ligand, whose bonds have insufficient flexibility to adopt the 90° angle required for a regular square-planar arrangement. The metallacycle is essentially planar (with no atom deviating from the least-squares plane by more than 0.068(5) Å, for C(1)), with the carbonyl group also lying in-plane as predicted. As expected for an unsymmetrical ureylene ligand, the N(1)-Pt and N(2)-Pt bond distances are not equal, with the former possibly being slightly longer (0.027(11) Å), though the estimated standard deviations do not permit an accurate comparison.

Table 2

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for [Pt(PhNC(O)NAd)(COD)] 3d, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

Bond lengths Pt-N(1) Pt-C(31) Pt-C(34)	2.048(8) 2.177(9) 2.185(9)	Pt-N(2) Pt-C(38) Pt-C(35)	2.021(8) 2.178(9) 2.191(9) 1.30(1)	
N(1)-C(1) C(1)-O(1) N(2)-C(11) C(34)-C(35)	1.34(1) 1.24(1) 1.41(1) 1.39(1)	N(1)-C(2) C(31)-C(38)	1.47(1) 1.38(1)	
Bind angles N(1)-Pt-N(2) Pt-N(2)-C(1) Pt-N(1)-C(2) N(1)-C(1)-O(1)	64.7(3) 95.5(5) 142.4(6) 128.4(8)	Pt-N(1)-C(1) Pt-N(2)-C(11) N(1)-C(1)-N(2) N(2)-C(1)-O(1)	94.7(5) 133.2(6) 104.1(7) 127.3(8)	

The metal-ureylene system is formally isoelectronic with the $MCH_2C(O)CH_2$ oxodimethylenemethane system. Indeed, the former may be considered as oxadiazatrimethylenemethane complexes. However, a number of structural studies [18,19] on these complexes exclusively show the presence of highly puckered four-membered rings 5, and the bonding is best rationalised in terms of an η^3 contribution 6 to the bonding. Ureylene complexes accordingly more closely resemble the isoelectronic carbonato complexes 7, which also have planar ring systems (see for example Ref. [20]).



The Pt-CH bond distances do not vary significantly (2.178(9) Å compared with 2.188(9) Å). However, the differing trans influences of the two inequivalent nitrogen atoms has an effect on the ¹H and ¹¹C NMR spectra of the CH=CH groups of the COD ligand. Further discussion on the NMR spectroscopic properties appears in Section 2.3.

The dihedral angle between the strictly planar phenyl ring and the metallacyclic ring is $42.0(3)^\circ$. The alkene moleties of the COD ligand are almost perpendicular to the metallacycle with a dihedral angle of $87.0(4)^\circ$ between the planes defined by the platinum-carbon bonds, and the metallacycle. The remaining bond lengths and angles appear normal.

2.3. Spectroscopic and mass spectrometric characterisation

The complexes have been fully characterised by multinuclear NMR and IR spectroscopies, elemental analysis and ESMS. The ${}^{34}P-{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of

the triphenylphosphine complex **3b** shows the expected single resonance, with coupling to ¹⁹⁵Pt of 3337 Hz. This is characteristic of phosphine ligands trans to amide ligands, such as in the related complexes $[Pt{PhNS(O)_2NPh}(PPh_3)_2]$ (3501 Hz) and $[Pt{PhNP(O)(Ph)NPh}(PPh_3)_2]$ (3401 Hz) [13]. The trans influence of the diphenylureylene ligand is lower than that of the amide ligand in the related lactam complex $[Pt{CH(COMe)C(O)NPh}(PPh_3)_2]$, where the PPh₃ ligand trans to the amide shows ¹J(PtP) 3709 Hz [18]. For the unsubstituted ureylene complex **3i**, the value of ¹J(PtP) is 3291 Hz, while those for the unsymmetrical complex **3h** (3174 and 3423 Hz) lie outside the range defined by the two symmetrical structures **3a** and **3i**.

¹³C and ¹H NMR data for the cyclo-octadiene olefinic resonances of the various ureylene complexes 3 are summarised in Table 3. It is evident that there is no obvious trend in both the 'H and ¹³C chemical shifts which range from 4.7 to 6.5 ppm and from 91 to 99 ppm respectively, regardless of the aromaticity or electronwithdrawing characteristics of the nitrogen substituent trans to it. However, if the 'H shift for any particular group is larger, the corresponding ¹³C is also greater, as is typical (although by no means necessary) in NMR generally. The coupling constants, however, are much more diagnostic. The more strongly electron-withdrawing groups (i.e. phenyl and acetyl) consistently induced higher ${}^{2}J$ (for ${}^{195}Pt-{}^{1}H$) and lower ${}^{1}J$ (for ${}^{195}Pt-{}^{13}C$) values to the coordinated COD ligand than their respective lesser electron-withdrawing (alkyl) counterparts, with the exception of [Pt(PhNC(O)NAd)(COD)] 3d where the 'H coupling constants were identical within the experimental accuracy of the spectrometer.

The IR spectra of the ureylene complexes show two bands in the region $1580-1700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In comparison, other mononuclear ureylene complexes have been reported to have $\nu(C=O)$ values in the range $1608-1698 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [4] including values of 1615 cm^{-1} for [Pd(NPhC(O)NPh)(phen)] and 1693 cm^{-1} for [Pt(NRC(O)NR}(PPh_1)_2] (R = p-toluenesulphonyl).

2.3.1. ESMS

ESMS is a relatively recent ionisation technique, which is well-suited to the analysis of involatile transition metal complexes. The technique typically yields strong parent ions, providing the complex is either charged, or possesses 'basic' oxygen or nitrogen atoms which can associate with protons, giving parent ions of the $[M + H]^+$ type. The ureylene complexes typically give $[M + H]^+$ ions under a range of different cone voltages, though in several cases other ions were apparent. Generally, the strongest $[M + H]^+$ ions were observed using cone voltages around 50 V. Complexes of $[(COD)Pt(OH)]^+$, alone, or with one or two molecules of MeCN mobile phase were also sometimes observed.



Fig. 1. ORMP diagram of the molecular structure of the ureylene complex [Pt{NPhC(O)NAd}(COD)] 3d, showing the atom numbering scheme. Atoms are represented at the 50% probability level.

It is likely that these ions arise from incomplete reaction of the starting [PtCl₂(COD)], and that abstraction of chloride by Ag^* is the first step in the synthesis of the ureylene complexes. As expected, loss of acetonitrile proceeds with increased cone voltage. For the diphenyl ureylene complex 3a, loss of Ph-NCO occurred at higher cone voltages. Fig. 2 shows the positive-ion spectrum of this complex at a cone voltage of 50 V, together with a comparison of the observed and calculated isotope distributions for the parent $[M + H]^+$

Table 3

A comparison of cyclo-octadiene olefinic ¹H and ¹³C resonances and coupling constants for the various ureylene complexes [Pt[NXC(O)NY](COD)] 3

Substituent	Pt-CH group trans to X substituent				Pt-CH group trans to Y substituent			
(X,Y)	δ (ppm)		J (Hz)		δ (ppm)		J (Hz)	
	тн	¹³ C	¹ H- ¹⁹⁵ Pt	¹³ C- ¹⁹⁵ Pt	чн	¹³ C	¹ H- ¹⁹⁵ Pt	¹³ C- ¹⁹⁵ Pt
X,Y = Ph 3a	5.20	94.1	59.4	141.7	5.20	94.1	59.4	141.7
X = Ph; Y = Py 3c	6.48	95.2	64.6	131.6	5.21	94.1	63.1	145.5
X = Ph; Y = Ad 3d	4.73	90.7	60.8	128.6	5.63	93.8	61.0	142.8
X 🚥 Ph; Y 🖚 CH , 3e	5.08	93.7 °		133.1	5.13	92.1 ^a	53.9	143.5
X == COMe; Y == Me 3f	5.17	93.5	62.4	128.7	6.08	93.9	61.3	141.1
$X = SO_2C_6H_4Cl; Y = C_3N_3(OMe)Me 3g$	6.41	98.6	68.2	139.1	6.33	97.1	61.0	153.8

^a Assigned following the basic trend in coupling constants observed for other related species.

^b Not resolved.



Fig. 2. Positive-ion electrospray mass spectrum of Pt(PhNC(O)NPh(COD)] 3a (= M), showing peak assignments. The inset shows a comparison of the (a) observed and (b) calculated isotope distribution patterns for the $[M + H]^+$ parent ion at m/z 514.

ion. Similar behaviour was observed for the sulphonylurea herbicide-derived product **3g**, which selectively lost chlorophenylsulphonylisocyanate at high cone voltages. Analogous loss of isocyanates from sulphonylurea herbicides has been described previously in desorption chemical ionisation studies of this class of compounds [21]. It is also noteworthy that the reactions of isocyanates with a range of metal complexes provide a synthetic route into ureylene complexes, as discussed in Section 1. ESMS thus appears to provide a rapid, convenient method for probing the decomposition pathways of such complexes.

3. Experimental details

¹H and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC300P spectrometer, at 300.13 MHz and 75.47 MHz respectively, in CDCl₃ (unless otherwise stated), with SiMe₄ (0.0 ppm) as external reference. NMR spectra of ureylene complexes were fully assigned by a combination of ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹³C COSY and nOe experiments. ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded in CH₂Cl₂ solution (unless otherwise stated) on a Jeol FX90Q spectrometer at 36.23 MHz, with 85% H₃PO₄ (0.0 ppm) as external reference, and a glass capillary containing D₂O used to provide a lock signal. IR spectra were recorded as KBr disks on a BioRad FTS-40 spectrometer, with 1 cm⁻⁴ resolution. Melting points were recorded on a Reichert Hotstage apparatus and are uncorrected. Electrospray mass spectra were recorded in positive-ion mode on a VG Platform II instrument. The sample was delivered to the mass spectrometer source by a SpectraSystem P1000 HPLC pump, at a flow rate of 0.1 ml min⁻¹, with MeCN-H₂O (1:1 v/v) as the mobile phase. The sample was introduced via a 10 μ l sample loop fitted to a Rheodyne injector. Nitrogen was employed as both the nebulising and drying gas, and the source temperature was 60 °C. Cone voltages were typically varied between 20 and 80 V, in order to optimise formation of molecular ions, and investigate the effect of higher voltages on fragmentation of the parent species. Identification of all major species is aided by comparison of the observed and calculated [22] isotope distribution patterns.

The complex $[PtCl_2(COD)]$ was prepared by the literature procedure [23] and *cis*- $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ was prepared from this complex by ligand substitution with 2 mol equivalents of PPh₃ in dichloromethane solution. Silver(I) oxide was prepared by a modification of the literature procedure, [24]

Urea (BDH), phenylurea (BDH), N,N'-dimethylurea (Aldrich), were obtained from commercial sources and used as-supplied. The sulphonylurea herbicide Chlorsulfuron was obtained from D. McNaughton, AgResearch Ltd., Hamilton, New Zealand, and used as-supplied.

N-acetyl-*N'*-methylurea was prepared by the literature procedure [25], m.p. 178 °C (lit. 178–180 °C). ¹H NMR: δ 10.33 (s. 1H, CH₃CON*H*), 8.40 (s. 1H, H₃CN*H*), 2.84 (d. 3H, ³J_{H,H} = 5.49 Hz, COC*H*₃), 2.10 (s. 3H, C*H*₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 172.6 (s. H₃C-C=O), 155.6 (s. C=O), 26.1 (q. NH-CH₃), 23.9 (q. CO-CH₃). The various phenylureas were prepared via a minor modification of the general method recently described [26]; phenyl isocyanate (BDH) (0.5 ml) was added to a solution of the appropriate amine in diethyl ether (ca. 30 ml). The resulting solid was filtered, and washed with diethyl ether to yield the product urea.

N-Phenyl-N'-2-pyridyl urea, *PhNHC(O)NHPy*. M.p. 192–193 °C (194 °C [26]). ¹H NMR: δ 11.81 (s, 1H, br, PhNH), 9.23 (s, 1H, br, PyNH), 8.26 (dd, 1H, ³ $J_{5'',4''} = 5.07$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{5'',3''} = 1.08$ Hz, H-5"), 7.65 (dd, 1H, ³ $J_{3'',2''} = 7.34$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{3'',5''} = 1.85$ Hz, H-3"), 7.63 (dd, 2H, ³ $J_{2',3''} = 7.88$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{2',4'} = 1.08$ Hz, H-2',6'), 7.36 (t, 2H, ³ $J_{3',2''} = 7.92$ Hz, H-3',5'), 7.10 (tt, 1H, ³ $J_{4',3'} = 7.41$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{4',2'} = 1.06$ Hz, H-2',6'), 7.36 (t, 2H, ³ $J_{3',2'} = 7.92$ Hz, H-3',5'), 7.10 (tt, 1H, ³ $J_{4',3'} = 7.41$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{4',2'} = 1.06$ Hz, H-4'), 6.98 (d, 1H, ³ $J_{2'',3''} = 8.39$ Hz, H-2''), 6.94 (tt, 1H, ⁴ $J_{4'',3''} = 6.11$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{4'',2''} = 0.83$ Hz, H-4''). ¹³C NMR: δ 154.0 (s, C=O), 153.2 (s, C-1''), 145.9 (d, C-5''), 138.7 (s, C-1'), 138.7 (d, C-3''), 129.0 (d, C-3',5'), 123.4 (d, C-4'), 120.3 (d, C-2',6'), 117.2 (d, C-4''), 112.4 (d, C-2'').

N-1-Adamantyl-N'-phenyl urea, *PhNHC(O)NHAd*. M.p. 248 °C. ¹H NMR: δ 7.27 (m, 4H, H-2', 3', 5', 6'), 7.05 (tt, 1H, ³J_{4',3'} = 6.26 Hz, ⁴J_{4',2'} = 2.38 Hz, H-4'), 6.22 (s, 1H, PhNH), 4.57 (s, 1H, AdNH), 2.08 (m, 3H, CH₂-CH-CH₂), 2.00 (d, 6H, C-CH₂-CH), 1.67 (t, 6H, CH-CH₂-CH). ¹³C NMR: δ 154.4 (s, C=O), 139.0 (s, C-1'), 129.3 (d, C-3',5'), 123.6 (d, C-4'), 120.9 (d, C-2',6'), 51.4 (s, N-C-CH₂), 42.3 (t, C-CH₂-CH), 36.4 (t, CH-CH₃-CH), 29.6 (d, CH₂-CH-CH₂).

N-Methyl-N'-phenyl urea, PhNHC(O)NHMe. M.p. 178 °C. ¹H NMR: δ 7.32=7.26 (m, 4H, H-2',3',5',6'), 7.09 (tt, 1H, ³J_{4',3'} = 6.48 Hz, ⁴J_{4',2'} = 2.21 Hz, H-4'), 6.54 (s, br, 1H, PhNH), 4.87 (s, br, 1H, H₃CNH) 2.82 (d, 3H, ³J_{11,11} = 4.76 Hz, CH₃), ¹³C NMR: δ 156.6 (s, C=O), 138.5 (s, C-1'), 129.4 (d, C-3',5'), 124.2 (d, C-4'), 121.6 (d, C-2',6'), 27.1 (q, CH₃).

{ $CH_{4}NHC(O)NHC_{6}H_{4}$ }₂ CH_{2} . M.p. 280°C. ¹H NMR: (D_{6} -DMSO) δ 8.44 (s. 2H, br, $C_{6}H_{4}$ -N-H), 7.36 (d. 4H, ³ $J_{2',2'}$ = 8.49 Hz, 2',6',2",6"), 7.11 (d. 4H, ³ $J_{2',2'}$ = 8.47 Hz, H-3',5',3",5"), 6.01 (q, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 4.66$ Hz, H₃C-N-H), 3.82 (s, 2H, CH₂), 2.70 (d, 6H, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 4.63$ Hz, CH₃). 13 C NMR: (D₆-DMSO) δ 159.9 (s, C=O), 142.4 (s, C-1'), 138.2 (d, C-4'), 132.6 (d, C-3',6'), 121.8 (d, C-2',6'), 43.7 (t, CH₂) 30.1 (q, CH₃).

3.1. Preparation of ureylene complexes

Melting point, microanalytical and IR spectroscopic data for the ureylene complexes 3 and 4 are summarised in Table 4.

3.1.1. Preparation of [Pt{PhNC(O)NPh}(COD)] 3a

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.051 g, 0.136 mmol), N,N'-diphenylurea (0.029 g, 0.137 mmol) and silver(I) oxide (0.807 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane (30 ml) for 18 h. Filtration to remove the silver salts gave a pale green-yellow solution. The solvent was removed by evaporation, and subsequent recrystallisation of the residue from dichloromethane-diethyl ether gave a pale green powder of **3a** (0.059 g, 84%).

¹H NMR: δ 7.29–7.13 (m, 8H, Ph), 6.91 (t, 4H, ³ $J_{4',3'}$ = 7.13 Hz, H-4'), 5.20 ((t, br), 4H, (d, ² $J_{H,Pt}$ = 59.4 Hz), CH = CH), 2.61 (m, 4H, CH–CH₂), 2.29 (m, 4H, CH–CH₂). ¹³C NMR: δ 129.1 (s, C-3',5'), 128.9 (s, C-3',5'), 123.6 (s, C), 122.6 (s, C), 120.6 (s, C), 94.1 (d, (d, ¹ $J_{C,Pt}$ = 141.7 Hz), CH=CH), 30.2 (t, CH–CH₂). ESMS: cone voltage 50 V (m/z, %) [2M + H]⁺ (1027, 10%), [M + H]⁺, (514, 80%), [MH – PhNCO]⁺, (394, 100%).

3.1.2. Preparation of [Pt{PhNC(O)NPh}(PPh_3),] 3b

The compound was quantitatively prepared by ligand substitution from [Pt{PhNC(O)NPh}(COD)] **3a** prepared above, by addition of the appropriate molar amount of triphenylphosphine to a dichloromethane solution of **3a**.

The complex was also prepared directly from *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₄)₂] (generated in situ from [PtCl₂(COD)] (0.050 g, 0.134 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (0.071 g, 0.271 mmol)), N, N'-diphenylurea (0.029 g, 0.137 mmol) and silver(1) oxide (0.843 g, excess). Yield 0.107 g (86%).

Table 4

Melting point, microanalytical	^a and IR spectroscopic	data for the	platinum(II) ureylene complexes	
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------	--

Compound	М.р. (°С)	Microanalytical data			IR (carbonyl region, cm ⁻¹)
		С	Н	N	
la	150 ^b	48.57(49.12)	4.56(4.32)	5,43(5.46)	1648(vs),1594(s)
36	240 b	62.55(63.29)	3.95(4.34)	2.65(3.01)	1639(vs),1589(m)
3e · 0 25 Et .O	240 ^b	46.66(46.11)	4.16(4.55)	8.13(8.07)	1662(vs),1548(s)
34 0 SCH.CL 0 SELO	220 ^b	50,17(50,75)	5.95(5.89)	4.43(4.31)	1637(vs),1618(s)
a and the set of the s	140 °	42.72(42.57)	4.84(4.47)	6.05(6.21)	1650(vs),1590(s)
3a - A 33CH - CL	170 ^b	35.76(35.53)	3.40(3.32)	10.21(10.19)	1725(vs.br)
a	190 ^b	43.56(43.31)	4.96(4.41)	6.24(6.13)	1640(vs.br),1605(s)

^a Calculated values are given in parentheses. ^b Decomposition point. ^c Melts without decomposition.

¹H NMR: δ 7.47–7.32 (m, 14H, PPh₃), 7.25–7.17 (m, 8H, PPh₃), 7.09–7.04 (m, 8H, PPh₃), 6.66 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{2',3'} = 7.24$ Hz, H-2',2"), 6.55 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{3',2'} = 7.29$ Hz, H-3',3"), 6.47 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{4',3'} = 6.97$ Hz, H-4',4"). ${}^{13}C$ NMR: δ 174.3 (s, C=O), 134.5–134.2 (m, PPh₃), 131.2 (m, PPh₃), 130.5 (m, PPh₃), 128.6–128.4 (m, PPh₃), 128.0–127.9 (m, PPh₃), 127.5 (s, C-2',6'), 127.2 (s, C-3',5'), 121.2 (s, C-4'). ${}^{31}P{-}{}^{1}H{}$ NMR: δ 10.0 (s, (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,Pt} = 3337$ Hz), Ph₃P). ESMS: cone voltage 50 V (m/z, %) unidentified (957, 40%), [M + H]⁺, (930, 70%), unidentified, (772, 100%).

3.1.3. Preparation of [Pt{PhNC(O)NPy}(COD)] 3c

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.100 g, 0.266 mmol), N-phenyl-N'-2-pyridylurea (0.056 g, 0.264 mmol) and silver(I) oxide (0.129 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 24h. After workup as above, bright yellow crystals of 3c (0.105 g, 77%) were obtained.

3c (0.105 g, 77%) were obtained. ¹H NMR: δ 7.98 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{2'',3''} = 6.05$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{2'',4''}$ = 1.98 Hz, ${}^{5}J_{2'',5''} = 0.84$ Hz, H-2"), 7.92 (dt, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{5'',4''}$ = 8.51 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{5'',3''} = 0.95$ Hz, H-5"), 7.41 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{4'',3'',5''} = 8.51$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{4'',3'',5''} = 7.08$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{4',2''} =$ 2.02 Hz, H-4"), 7.21 (tt, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{2',3'} = 9.2$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{2',4''} =$ 1.70 Hz, H-3'), 7.12 (dt, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{2',3'} = 9.2$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{4',2'} =$ 1.79 Hz, H-2'), 6.91 (tt, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{4,3'} = 7.13$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{4',2'} =$ 1.29 Hz, H-4'), 6.50 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{3'',2'',4''} = 7.11$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{3'',3'',4''} = 4.95$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{3'',5''} = 0.98$ Hz, H-3"), 6.48 (2H, (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 2.81$ Hz), (d, ${}^{2}J_{H,Pt} = 64.6$ Hz), CH = CH *trans* NPh), 5.21 (2H. (t, ${}^{J}I_{H,H} = 2.52$ Hz), (d, ${}^{2}J_{H,Pt} =$ 63.1 Hz), CH = CH *trans* NPy), 2.70=2.60 (m, 4H, CH=CH_2), {}^{13}C NMR: δ CH-CH₂), 2.40-2.14 (m, 4H. CH-CH₂), ¹³C NMR: 8 169.7 (s, C≡O), 158.1 (s, C=1"), 147.5 (d, C=5"), 145.5 (s, C-1'), 136.9 (d, C-3"), 128.9 (d, C-3',5'), 122.6 (d, $C_{\rm C}^{-2',6'}$, 122.3 (d, C-4'), 115.6 (d, C-4"), 114.3 (d, ($J_{\rm C,Pl} = 45.8 \, \text{Hz}$), C-2"), 95.2 (d, (d, $J_{\rm C,Pl} = 131.6 \, \text{Hz}$), CH=CH trans NPh), 94.1 (d, (d, $J_{\rm C,Pl} = 145.5 \, \text{Hz}$), CH=CH trans NPy), 30.7 (d, CH-CH₂), 30.0 (d, CH-CH₂). ESMS: cone voltage 15V, (m/z, %)unidentified (747, 18%), $[M + H_3O]^+$ (533, 100%), [(COD)Pt(OH)(MeCN)]* (361, 15%), [(COD)Pt(OH)(MeCN),]* (402, 48%), Cone 50 V, (m/z, %) unidentified (665, 70%), $[M + H, O]^+$ (533, 100%), unidentified (427, 36%), [(COD)P((OH)(MeCN)]* (361, 72%), [(COD)P((OH)]* (320, 77%).

3.1.4. Preparation of [Pt{PhNC(O)NAd}(COD] 3d

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.100 g, 0.266 mmol), N-1-adamantyl-N'-phenyl urea (0.073 g, 0.270 mmol) and silver(1) oxide (0.126 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 24 h. After standard workup and recrystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether, bright yellow crystals of **3d** (0.107 g, 70%) were obtained,

¹H NMR: δ 7.17 (dt, 2H, ³ $J_{y',y'} = 6.52$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{z',y'} = 1.79$ Hz, H-2',6'), 7.11 (tt, 2H, ³ $J_{y',y'} = 8.20$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{y',y'} = 1.30$ Hz, H-3',5'), 6.88 (tt, 1H, ³ $J_{y',y'} = 7.10$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{y',y'} = 7.10$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{y',y'} = 7.10$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{y',y'} = 1.30$ Hz, H-3',5'), 6.88 (tt, 1H, ³ $J_{y',y'} = 7.10$ Hz, ⁴ $J_{y',y'} = 7.10$

1.48 Hz, H-4'), 5.63 (2H, (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 2.77$ Hz), (d, br, ${}^{2}J_{H,Pt} = 61.0$ Hz), CH = CH trans NAd), 4.73 (2H, (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 2.79$ Hz), (d, br, ${}^{2}J_{H,Pt} = 60.8$ Hz), CH = CHtrans NPh), 2.54 (m, 4H, CH_2 -CH=CH), 2.26 (m, 4H, CH_2 -CH=CH), 2.01 (m, br, 9H, H-Ad), 1.66 (m, br, 6H, H-Ad). ${}^{13}C$ NMR: δ 174.2 (s, C=0), 146.7 (s, C-1'), 128.5 (d, C-3',5'), 124.7 (d, C-2',6'), 122.6 (d, C-4'), 93.8 (d, (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,Pt} = 142.8$ Hz), CH=CH trans NAd), 90.7 (d, (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,Pt} = 128.6$ Hz), CH=CH trans NPh), 57.6 (s, N-C-CH₂), 44.2 (t, C-CH₂-CH), 36.8 (t, CH-CH₂-CH), 31.1 (t, CH_2 -CH=CH), 30.3 (CH₂-CH-CH₂), 29.4 (t, CH_2 -CH=CH).

3.1.5. Preparation of [Pt{PhNC(O)NCH₃}(COD)] 3e

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.052 g, 0.139 mmol), *N*-methyl-*N*'phenylurea (0.016 g, 0.138 mmol) and silver(1) oxide (0.11 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 5 h. After standard workup, recrystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether afforded pale yellow rosettes of **3e** (0.039 g, 81%). ¹H NMR: δ 7.18–7.05 (m, 4H, C-2',3',5',6'), 6.78 (t, 1H, ³J_{4',3'} = 7.07 Hz, H-4'), 5.13 ((s, br), 2H, (d, br, ²J_{H,Pt} = 53.9 Hz), CH = CH trans NCH₃), 5.08 ((s, br), 2H, (d, br, ²J_{H,Pt} not discernible due to overlap), CH = CH trans NPh), 2.94 (s, 3H, (d, br, ³J_{H,Pt} = 38.3 Hz), CH₃), 2.59 (m, br, 4H, CH₂-CH), 2.22 (m, br, 4H, CH₂-CH). ¹³C NMR: δ 173.8 (s, (d, ²J_{C,Pt} = 97.0 Hz), C = O), 147.3 (s, C-1'), 128.8 (d, C-3',5'), 120.9 (d, C-2',6'), 118.8 (d, C-4'), 93.7 (d, (d, ¹J_{C,Pt} = 143.5 Hz), CH=CH, trans NCH₃), 31.7 (q, (d, ²J_{C,Pt} = 30.5 Hz), CH₃), 30.4 (t, CH₂-CH), 30.3 (t, CH₂-CH).

3.1.6. Preparation of

[Pr{CH₁CONC(O)NCH₁}(COD)] 3f

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.052 g, 0.139 mmol), N-acetyl-N'methylurea (0.016 g, 0.138 mmol) and silver(1) oxide (0.11 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 5 h. After standard workup, recrystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether afforded a pale yellow oil of **3f** (0.049 g, which was ca. 95% pure by ¹H NMR).

¹H NMR: δ 6.08 (2H, (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 2.65$ Hz), (d, br, ${}^{2}J_{H,P_{1}} = 61.3$ Hz), CH = CH trans NCH₃), 5.17 (2H, (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 2.48$ Hz), (d, br, ${}^{2}J_{H,P_{1}} = 62.4$ Hz), CH = CHtrans NCOCH₃), 2.87 (s, 3H, (d, br, ${}^{3}J_{H,P_{1}} = 40.0$ Hz), CH_{3}), 2.56 (m, br, 4H, CH_{2} -CH), 2.37 (s, 3H, N- CH_{3}), 2.32 (m, br, 4H, CH_{2} -CH), ${}^{13}C$ NMR: δ 172.7 (s, H₃C-C=O), 170.4 (s, C=O), 93.9 (d, (d, {}^{1}J_{C,P_{1}} = 141.1 Hz, CH = CH trans NCOCH₃), 93.5 (d, (d, {}^{1}J_{C,P_{1}} = 128.7 Hz), CH = CH, trans NCOCH₃), 31.1 (q, CO- CH_{3}), 30.8 (t, CH_{2} -CH), 30.0 (t, CH_{2} -CH), 26.0 (q, (d, {}^{2}J_{C,P_{1}} = 41.5 Hz), CH₃).

3.1.7. Preparation of 3g

 $[PtCl_2(COD)]$ (0.053 g, 0.142 mmol), Chlorsulfuron (0.51 g, 0.143 mmol) and silver(1) oxide (0.765 g, ex-

cess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 5 h. After standard workup, recrystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether gave a cream solid of 3g (0.074 g, 79%).

¹H NMR: δ 8.29 (d, 1H, ³ $J_{H,H}$ = 7.15 Hz, Ar-H), 7.42-7.35 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 6.41 ((s, br), 2H, (d, br, ² $J_{H,Pt}$ = 68.2 Hz), CH = CH trans N-chlorophenyl), 6.33 ((s, br), 2H, (d, br, ² $J_{H,Pt}$ = 61.0 Hz), CH = CH trans N-triazene), 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.65 (m, br, 4H, CH₂-CH), 2.42 (m, br, 4H, CH₂-CH), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 178.7 (s, C=O), 171.5 (s), 168.1 (s), 161.3 (s), 139.0 (s), 133.6 (d), 133.0 (d), 131.5 (s), 131.3 (d), 127.2 (d, C-), 98.6 (d, (d, br, ¹ $J_{C,Pt}$ = 139.1 Hz), CH=CH trans N-chlorophenyl), 97.1 (d, (d, br, ¹ $J_{C,Pt}$ = 153.8 Hz), CH=CH trans N-triazene), 54.6 (q, OCH₃), 30.9 (t, CH₂-CH), 30.0 (t, CH₂-CH), 25.6 (q, CH₃). ESMS: cone voltage 50 V, (m/z, %) [M + H]⁺ (659, 100). Cone voltage 100 V, (m/z, %) [M + H]⁺ (659, 80), [M + H - OCNSO₂C₆H₄Cl]⁺ (441, 100), unidentified (429, 63%).

3.1.8. Preparation of [Pt{PhNC(O)NH}(PPh₃)₂] 3h

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.050 g, 0.134 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.071 g, 0.270 mmol), phenylurea (0.018 g, 0.132 mmol) and silver(I) oxide (0.122 g, excess) were added in succession to dichloromethane (25 ml) and refluxed for 5 h. Workup gave a pale orange oil which did not crystallise. On standing, the solution became noticeably darker, indicating possible decomposition. This was later confirmed by ³¹ P NMR. Decomposition in chloroform was also noted. ³¹ P NMR revealed that the oil was impure, precluding further NMR studies and elemental analysis.

³¹P NMR: δ 12.7 ((d, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 22.0 \text{ Hz})$, (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,P_{1}} = 3174 \text{ Hz}$), Ph₃P *trans* NH), 11.7 ((d, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 19.6 \text{ Hz})$, (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,P_{1}} = 3423 \text{ Hz}$), Ph₃P *trans* NPh), 6.53 (s, (${}^{1}J_{P,P_{1}} = 3699 \text{ Hz}$), impurity).

3.1.9. Preparation of [Pt{HNC(O)NH}(PPh_),] 3i

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.052 g, 0.139 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.074 g, 0.282 mmol), urea (0.010 g, 0.167 mmol) and silver(I) oxide (0.113 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 5 h. Workup gave a pale orange oil which did not crystallise. ³¹ P NMR and ESMS revealed the oil was impure so further analysis was not pursued. A sample of the product in dichloromethane became dark orange on standing, with ³¹ P NMR indicating decomposition.

³¹P NMR: δ 12.3 (s, (d, ¹J_{P,Pt} = 3291 Hz), Ph₃P), 6.5 (s, (d, ¹J_{P,Pt} = 3704 Hz), impurity). On standing, the following additional impurity resonances resulted: δ 27.5 (s, impurity), 11.7 (s, (d, ¹J_{P,Pt} = 3096 Hz), impurity), 7.5 (s, (d, ¹J_{P,Pt} = 3567 Hz), impurity). ESMS (positive-ion mode, cone voltage 30 V) [M + H]⁺, (m/z 778, 100%), plus a number of other unidentified species.

3.1.10. Preparation of

 $[(COD)Pf(CH_3NC(O)NC_6H_4)]_CH, 4$

[PtCl₂(COD)] (0.102 g, 0.273 m m ol), {CH₃NHC(O)NHC₆H₄}₂CH₂ (0.043 g, 0.138 mmol) and silver(I) oxide (0.11 g, excess) were refluxed in dichloromethane for 18h. After standard workup, recrystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether gave bright yellow pellets of 4 (0.094 g, 75%).

¹H NMR: δ 6.93 (d, 4H, ${}^{3}J_{2',3'} = 8.52$ Hz, 2', 6', 2", 6"), 6.88 (d, 4H, ${}^{3}J_{3',2'} = 8.52$ Hz, H-3', 5', 3", 5"), 4.98 ((s, br), 8H, (d, br, ${}^{3}J_{H,Pt}$ not discernible), CH =CH), 3.68 (s, 2H, CH₂), 2.87 (s, 3H, (d, br, ${}^{2}J_{H,Pt} = 38.3$ Hz), CH₃), 2.41 (m, br, 4H, CH₂-CH), 2.13 (m, br, 4H, CH₂-CH). 13 C NMR: δ 173.6 (s, C=O), 145.1 (s, C-1'), 133.9 (s, C-4'), 128.9 (d, C-3',5'), 120.8 (d, C-2',6'), 93.6 (d, (d, br, ${}^{1}J_{C,Pt} = 127.5$ Hz), CH=CH), 91.9 (d, (d, br, ${}^{1}J_{C,Pt} = 127.5$ Hz), CH=CH), 40.6 (t, CH₂), 31.5 (q, CH₃), 30.1 (t, CH₂-CH), 30.0 (t, CH₂-CH).

3.1.11. X-ray crystal structure of [Ph{PhNC(0)NAd}(COD)] · CH₂Cl, 3d

Yellow rectangular blocks of **3d** were obtained on crystallisation by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into a saturated dichloromethane solution at 4°C. Preliminary precession photography indicated triclinic symmetry, so the space group was assumed to be PI which was confirmed by the successful refinement.

Accurate cell parameters and intensity data were collected on a Nicolet R3 automatic four-circle diffractometer at the University of Canterbury, using a crystal of dimensions $0.80 \times 0.24 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}^3$, with monochromated MoK α X-rays ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$).

Crystal data. $C_{25}U_{32}N_2OPt \cdot CH_2CI_2$, $M_r = 656.57$, triclinic, space group P1. Unit cell dimensions: a = 9.55(2), b = 10.395(3), c = 13.761(7) Å, $\alpha = 90.54(3)$, $\beta = 91.21(7)$, $\gamma = 112.26(5)^\circ$, U = 1263(2) Å³, $D_c = 1.726$ g cm⁻³, Z = 2, F(000) = 648, $\mu(Mo K\alpha) = 5.79$ cm⁻¹.

A total of 3937 reflections in the range $2 < \theta < 24^{\circ}$ were collected at 130(2) K, of which 3881 were unique. These were subsequently corrected for Lorentz effects, polarisation effects, and for linear absorption by a Ψ scan method ($T_{\text{max},\text{min}} = 0.85, 0.40$). All non-hydrogen atom positions were located by the Patterson methods option of sHELXS-86 [27]. In the final cycle of the full-matrix least squares refinement based on F^2 using SHELXL-93 [28] all non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic temperature factors, with all hydrogen atom positions determined by calculation. A penultimate difference map showed some residual electron density which was modelled as three carbon atoms, with a tied occupancy factor which converged to 0.75. However, these could not be assigned to any chemically-sensible species, although it is most probably from a molecule of diethyl ether disordered across the inversion centre.

The refinement converged with $R_1 = 0.0397$ for 3474 data with $l \ge 2\sigma(l)$, 0.0449 for all data; $wR_2 = 0.1056$, and GoF = 1.088. No parameter shifted by more than 1.3 σ in the final cycle (for the disordered diethyl ether solvate), and the final difference map showed no peaks or troughs of electron density greater than + 1.88 e Å⁻³ and -1.72 e Å⁻³ respectively (adjacent to the Pt atom).

Complete bond lengths and angles, final positional parameters, thermal parameters and calculated H-atom positions are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Waikato and the New Zealand Lottery Grants Board for financial support, Professor W.T. Robinson (University of Canterbury) for acquisition of the X-ray data set, and W. Jackson for technical assistance. MBD acknowledges the William Georgetti Trust for a scholarship.

References

- [1] P. Braunstein and D. Nobel, Chem. Rev., 89 (1989) 1927.
- [2] S. Cenini and G. La Monica, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 18 (1976) 279.
- [3] W. Beck, W. Rieber, S. Cenini, F. Porta and G. La Monica, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1974) 298.
- [4] R.I. Michelman, R.U. Bergman and R.A. Andersen, Organometallics, 12 (1993) 2741.
- [5] R. Hasselbring, H.W. Roesky and M. Noltemeyer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 31 (1992) 601.
- [6] W.A. Herrmann, G. Weichselbaumer, R.A. Paciello, R.A. Fischer, B. Herdtweck, J. Okuda and D.W. Marz, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 489.
- [7] W.-H. Leung, G. Wilkinson, B. Hussain-Bates and M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1991) 2791.
- [8] F. Paul, J. Fischer, P. Oschenbein and J.A. Osborn, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 32 (1993) 1638.

1

- [9] P.C. Ellgen and J.N. Gerlach, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 1944;
 R.J. Doedens, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 2323.
- [10] S. Okeya, S. Koshino, M. Namie, I. Nagasawa and Y. Kushi, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1995) 2123.
- [11] S. Cenini, M. Pizzotti, F. Porta and G. La Monica, J. Organomet. Chem., 88 (1975) 237.
- [12] M.B. Dinger and W. Henderson, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1996) 211.
- [13] R.D.W. Kemmitt, S. Mason, M.R. Moore and D.R. Russell, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1992) 409.
- [14] R.D.W. Kemmitt, S. Mason, M.R. Moore, J. Fawcett and D.R. Russell, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1990) 1535.
- [15] I.P. Parkin and J.D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1990) 519; I.P. Parkin, A.M.Z. Slawin, D.J. Williams and J.D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1989) 1060.
- [16] (a) W. Henderson, J. Fawcett, R.D.W. Kemmitt, C. Proctor and D.R. Russell, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1994) 3085 and references cited therein; (b) A.D. Burrows, D.M.P. Mingos, A.J.P. White and D.J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1996) 149.
- [17] S. Okeya, Y. Fujiwara, S. Kawashima, Y. Hayashi, K. Isobe, Y. Nakamura, H. Shimomura and Y. Kushi, *Chem. Len.*, (1992) 1823; W. Henderson, R.D.W. Kemmitt, S. Mason, M.R. Moore, J. Fawcett and D.R. Russell, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1992) 59.
- [18] R.D.W. Keinmitt and M.R. Moore, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 18 (1993) 348.
- [19] A. Ohsuka, T. Hirao, H. Kurosawa and I. Ikeda, Organometallics, 14 (1995) 2538.
- [20] M.R. Gregg, J. Powell and J.F. Sawyer, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C, 44 (1988) 43.
- [21] W. Winnik, W. Brumley and L. Betowski, J. Mass Spectrom., 30 (1995) 1574.
- [22] L.J. Arnold, J. Chem. Educ., 69 (1992) 811.
- [23] J.X. McDermott, J.W. White and G.M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 6521.
- [24] H.L. Riley and H.B. Baker, J. Chem. Soc., (1926) 2510.
- [25] A.I. Vogel, Practical Organic Chemistry, 3rd edn., Longman, London, 1972, p. 969.
- [26] A. Hocquet, J. Tohler and J. Fournier, J. Chem. Educ., 71 (1994) 1092.
- [27] G.M. Sheldrick, stualxs-86, Program for solving crystal structures, University of Göttingen, 1986.
- [28] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-93, Program for refining crystal structures, University of Göttingen, 1993.